

## **Bike Life Scotland 2018**

Data sources and methodologies

November 2018

## Where does the data in the 2018 Bike Life reports come from?

The three 2018 Bike Life Scotland reports were produced by Sustrans with the support and co-operation of the authorities named on the report front covers. The three cities are Glasgow, Perth and Stirling.

The data contained in the reports is drawn from a set of common data reviewed and agreed by Sustrans and the three authorities and collected for every one of the cities. There are four categories of data:

- Settings data: these are supply-side measures of what is available to help someone riding a bike in the city, and the inputs being made. This includes cycle route lengths, 20mph limits and cycle parking.
- Behaviour data: these are demand-side measures of residents' travel behaviours, the types of people owning and riding bikes, how often, how far and to which types of destination.
- **Perception data**: attitudes and perceptions of the public towards bikes and transport more generally. This includes awareness of facilities for cycling, their perception of how good those facilities are locally, their views on safety for all ways of getting around the city, the potential for them to ride a bike more, whether they think use of bikes helps make a better place, and what else should be done to facilitate more and safer cycling. Perceptions, whether accurate or not, substantially determine whether people may ride a bike. For this reason the perceptions of those who don't ride are as important as those that do.
- **Impacts data**: health, economic and environmental benefits from cycle use, including modelled economic benefits, premature deaths prevented, impacts for the NHS and reductions in pollutants where bikes are used instead of cars.

The settings data and some of the behavioural data were supplied by partner authorities. The perception data and the rest of the behavioural data was obtained from an independent survey of a sample of respondents, representative of adults in each city, conducted by ICM Unlimited (part of Walnut Unlimited, henceforth ICM Unlimited). The impacts data was calculated by Sustrans' Research and Monitoring Unit from a combination of the behavioural data and the best available evidence.

The survey by ICM Unlimited interviewed a representative sample of 1,100 respondents aged 16 and above in each of the three cities. Interview quotas were set by gender, age, work status and ethnicity to reflect the profile of each city. In addition, 100 booster interviews were conducted with bike riders in each city (defined as those who have cycled in the last four weeks), to ensure a more statistically robust measure of bike riders' views about facilities. The results of booster interviews are not included in items of data

covering the views or behaviours of the whole population. In other words, data on the views and behaviours of the whole population are representative; they do not include a disproportionate number of cyclists.

2018 fieldwork was carried out between 4<sup>th</sup> May and 4<sup>th</sup> July 2018 and the average interview length was 15 minutes. All interviews in Glasgow were conducted by telephone using random digit dialling combined with quotas to ensure robust data, and the sample included an 85% landline - 15% mobile split. Due to the low populations of Perth and Stirling, face-to-face interviews were conducted using grouped outputs areas combined with quotas. All the main sample interviews in Perth and Stirling were conducted in-home, with the cyclist boosters conducted in-street.

At the analysis stage, the data were weighted by age, gender, working status and ethnicity using mid-year population estimates based on 2011 Census data. In addition, in Glasgow, data are weighted by ward.

| Data for each section of the report came from the sources listed below |
|--|
|--|

| Page   | Section  | Data item   | Source and notes  |
|--|--|---|---|
| Page 1<br>Forward<br>motion: Our<br>vision for<br>cycling in the<br>city | Forward<br>motion  | Various data, depending on each individual city report                            | Figures come from data sourced throughout in the Bike Life report, except:<br>Glasgow: cyclist counts and change in cycling levels. Data provided by partner authority. |
| Page 2<br>This is a<br>summary of<br>data found                          | There are<br>substantial<br>benefits<br>to the city from | Trips made by bike in the<br>past year<br>Saving to the NHS to pay for<br>nurses  | See page 7<br>See page 8  |
| across the peop  | people<br>cycling  | Daily number of cars taken<br>off the roads, equivalent to a<br>tailback          | See page 8: "Number of return cycle trips are made daily in city by people that could have used a car"  |
|  |  | Economic benefit to city from<br>people riding bikes for<br>transport and leisure | See page 7  |

|   | There is huge<br>potential for<br>more people to | Percentage of residents<br>usually cycling to and from<br>work   | See page 4  |
|---|--|--|-------------|
|   | ride bikes                                       | Percentage of households within 125m of a cycle route  | See page 3  |
|   |  | Percentage of people who do<br>not currently ride a bike, but<br>would like to   | See page 12 |
|   |  | Percentage of people that think cycling safety is good   | See page 11 |
|   | and public<br>support to<br>make<br>that happen  | Percentage of people that<br>say their city would be a<br>better place to live and work<br>if more people cycled   | See page 12 |
|   |  | Percentage of people who<br>would like to see more<br>money spent on cycling   | See page 13 |
|   |  | Percentage of people who<br>would find protected roadside<br>cycle lanes very useful to<br>help them cycle more  | See page 13 |
|   |  | Percentage of residents who<br>support building more<br>protected roadside cycle<br>lanes, even when this could<br>mean less space for other<br>road traffic | See page 13 |
| Page 3<br>Key figures:<br>Provision and | Bike<br>ownership in<br>city                     | Percentage of people living<br>in households with at least<br>one bike   | ICM survey. |
| levels of<br>cycling in the<br>city     |  | Percentage of people living<br>in households with children,<br>with at least one child's bike  | ICM survey. |
|   |  | Adult bike ownership   | ICM survey. |

|   |  | Bicycle ownership figures refer to the percentages of people that live in a household with the given number of cars or bikes. Note that Census data on car ownership is normally reported differently, as the percentage of households with each given number of cars.   |
|---|--|--|
|   | Car and adult bike ownership   | ICM survey.<br>Car and bicycle ownership figures refer to the percentages of people that live in a household with<br>the given number of cars or bikes.<br>On the chart, "cars" refers to cars or vans.  |
| What's<br>available and<br>who's aware? | Miles of cycle routes  | All information supplied by the relevant authority (as shown on the front cover).<br>This includes all surfaced routes where you can legally ride a bike and/or enjoy some separation<br>from general motor traffic: shared use footways, on road painted cycle lanes, bus lanes you can<br>cycle in, traffic free cycle routes away from the highway, and cycle tracks within the highway<br>physically separated from traffic and pedestrians. It excludes sections of cycle route that are<br>merely signposted along roads without any special facility for cycling. |
|   | Miles of routes physically separated from vehicles                               | Includes protected bike lanes, shared footways and traffic-free routes away from roads.  |
|   | Percentage of people who<br>are familiar with the traffic-<br>free routes        | ICM survey. 'People' refers to the representative sample of 1,100 residents, aged 16 or above.   |
|   | Percentage of households<br>within 125 metres of a cycle<br>route                | Calculated by Sustrans from data provided by the authority.<br>Based on all routes included in the calculation of total route length and the most recent Marker<br>Map postcode data. This dataset contains household counts for each postcode.<br>Person count data is also available at postcode level and has been used for previous Bike Life<br>projects however the only dataset available is from 2011. Household count data was last updated<br>in 2018.   |
|   | Percentage of all streets<br>having a 20mph speed limit<br>Number of public bike | Data supplied by partner authority. This is the percentage of the total street length to which a 20 mph limit applies, not the percentage of named streets that are 20 mph.<br>Including all public bike parking available to the general public. Excludes parking at workplaces,  |
|   | parking spaces   | educational establishments and railway stations that are for exclusive or preferential use by people at those establishments.<br>Count provided by partner authority.  |
|   | equivalent to X bike riders per space  | This is the number of people saying they had ridden a bike in the four weeks before the survey, scaled up to the whole 16+ population of the city, and divided by the number of public bike parking spaces.  |

|        |   | Railway and metro station parking spaces for bikes     | The number of cycle parking spaces available for preferential use by rail passengers, at all stations within the boundary of the area covered by Bike Life, and including stands, lockers and any other types of dedicated cycle parking.   |
|--------|---|--|---|
|        |   |  | It excludes cycle parking that is outside stations, is available for everybody to use and is therefore public parking i.e. to which railway passengers have no preferential access. Within Glasgow, metro stations are included in the calculations.  |
|        |   | Equivalent daily average number of rail passengers for | This is calculated using the sum of the daily average number of passengers using each railway station in the city area covered by Bike Life.  |
|        |   | each bike parking space                                | Strictly speaking it is the number of passenger movements: if the same person makes two or more trips, each trip counts once.   |
|        |   |  | <ul> <li>Each station 'entry' or 'boarding' is counted once. Therefore if the number of spaces per passenger were 1, this would be equivalent to every passenger being able to park a bike at one end of their trip. For people making return journeys to and from stations that are both within the city area, this would allow for parking a bike at both ends.</li> <li>The source is the annual number of entries to a station listed by the Office of Rail and Road</li> </ul> |
|        |   |  | (ORR).  |
| Page 4 | Who is cycling?                           | Percentage of city residents by age band               | Within Glasgow, metro stations are included in the calculations. For age, the division of city residents uses 2016 mid-year population estimates.   |
|        | , ,                                       | Percentage of bike riders by age band                  | ICM survey. This is the percentage falling into each age group of people who said they had ridden a bike in the past four weeks when the survey was conducted   |
|        |   | Percentage of city residents<br>by gender              | For gender, the division of city residents uses 2016 mid-year population estimates.   |
|        |   | Percentage of bike riders by gender                    | ICM survey. This is the percentage of people who said they had ridden a bike in the past four weeks when the survey was conducted who are each gender.<br>Please note the 2018 Bike Life survey also provided response categories: 'In another way' and 'Prefer not to say'.  |
|        |   | Percentage of city residents by ethnicity              | For ethnicity, the division of city residents uses the 2011 Census.   |
|        |   | Percentage of bike riders by ethnicity                 | ICM survey. This is the percentage of people who said they had ridden a bike in the past four weeks when the survey was conducted who are white or BME ethnicity.   |
|        | How often are<br>people riding a<br>bike? | Frequency of riding a bike                             | ICM survey. This is the frequency distribution of riding a bike, from responses to the survey. It shows the percentages of people claiming to cycle at each frequency.  |

|   | Where are               | Number of cycle trips to work   | The number of cycle trips for each purpose is estimated from the responses to the 2018 ICM  |
|---|-------------------------|---|---|
|   | people<br>cycling?      |   | survey questions, asking respondents who cycle to work how often they cycle to work. This is scaled up for the whole adult population. The calculations include a correction for seasonal variation: using Sustrans' database of average seasonal variation in cycling from a large number of automatic counters over many years, enables us to correct with confidence for the relatively high levels of cycling likely to be exhibited during the survey period of May to July.                           |
|   |                         | Number of cycle trips to college or university                        | The number of cycle trips to college or university is estimated from the responses to the 2018 ICM survey questions, asking respondents who cycle to college or university how often they cycle to college or university. This is scaled up for the population. The calculations include a correction for seasonal variation, as above.   |
|   |                         | Number of cycle trips to school                                       | The number of cycle trips to school is estimated using 2017 Hands Up Survey Scotland data, which provided the median proportion of pupils who cycle to school in the Bike Life boundary area. This is scaled up for the school roll number. It was assumed that these pupils cycle to/from school on every school day.  |
|   |                         | Number of cycle trips for<br>shopping and other<br>purposeful trips   | The number of cycle trips for shopping and other purposeful trips is estimated from the responses to the 2018 ICM survey questions, asking respondents who cycle for other purposeful trips such as shopping how often they cycle for other purposeful trips such as shopping. This is scaled up for the population. The calculations include a correction for seasonal variation, as above.  |
|   |                         | Number of cycle trips for leisure                                     | The number of cycle trips for leisure is estimated from the responses to the 2018 ICM survey questions, asking respondents who cycle exclusively for enjoyment or fitness how often they cycle exclusively for enjoyment or fitness. This is scaled up for the population. The calculations include a correction for seasonal variation, as above. Child leisure trips are estimated from the adult leisure trip estimate using Census 2011 data about the proportion of childless households in each city. |
|   |                         | Percentage of city residents<br>who usually cycle to and<br>from work | The percentage of adult residents aged 16+, calculated from responses to ICM survey.  |
|   |                         | Percentage of city residents'<br>cycle trips for work or<br>education | The number of cycle trips to work, college or university, and school, as a percentage of the total number of trips cycled.  |
| Pages 5 and 6<br>Developing<br>Bike Life:<br>What's | Developing<br>Bike Life | Various data, depending on each individual city report                | Additional data provided by partner authority.  |

| happening in the city  |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|
| Page 7<br>The impact:<br>The health,<br>economic and<br>environmental<br>benefits to the | Many people<br>are cycling in<br>the city             | Trips made by bike in the past year   | The total number of trips for each city is calculated by summing the number of trips for each journey purpose, as set out in the section "Where are people cycling?" on page 4 (detailed above).  |
|  |   | Miles cycled  | Estimated by combining the number of trips for each purpose as described above, with an average (median) trip length for each purpose. Average trip distance was obtained from questions in the ICM survey covering each trip purpose separately.   |
| city   |   | Equivalent number of times around the world   | This is the total trip length each day divided by the distance round the world and rounded to an appropriate level.   |
|  |   | Equivalent number of times cycled the length of Great                               | This is the total trip length each day divided by the distance to cycle from Lands End to John O'Groats and rounded to an appropriate level.  |
|  |   | Britain   | Source: https://www.sustrans.org.uk/ncn/map/walking-and-cycling-inspiration/challenge-<br>yourself/lands-end-john-ogroats-lejog   |
|  | Benefiting<br>individuals and<br>the local<br>economy | Net benefit for each mile<br>cycled instead of driven                               | This is the difference between the total cost per mile of driving a car and the total cost per mile of riding a bike.<br>The costs of both include costs and benefits to the individual and to society as a whole.<br>The calculation includes figures for the operating costs of bike and car, travel time of both, traffic congestion and health benefits (the main factors), and also infrastructure, local air quality, noise, greenhouse gases, and indirect taxation<br>The figure for each factor is based on best available evidence from the UK and Europe, including data taken from the Government's standard Transport Analysis Guidance (WebTAG).<br>This methodology is influenced by that used for the Copenhagen Bicycle Account, which has been established for 20 years and was one of the main inspirations for Bike Life. |
|  |   | Annual benefit to city from<br>people with a car choosing to<br>cycle for transport | What this amounts to in each city is calculated by multiplying the per mile figure, as calculated above, by the estimated total pedalled distance that could have been driven across the year. Note that where this figure amounts to less than the figure for the value of early deaths prevented, this is because the figure for early deaths prevented covers all cycling, including leisure cycling journeys that would never have been driven.   |
|  |   | Benefit to city from all trips made by bicycle                                      | <ul> <li>This is comprised of three parts:</li> <li>the annual benefit to the city from people with a car choosing to cycle for transport, plus</li> <li>the value of similarly purposeful trips but cycled by people without access to a car, plus</li> <li>the value of leisure cycle trips made by everyone</li> </ul>   |

| Page 8 | Unlocking<br>significant<br>health benefits | Number of early deaths prevented annually  | Calculated using the widely recognised World Health Organisation (WHO) /Europe Health<br>Economic Assessment Tool (HEAT). This estimates the number of premature deaths prevented<br>by specified amounts of cycling.  |
|--------|---|--|--|
|        | in the city                                 | Value of early deaths prevented annually   | Also calculated using the WHO HEAT tool, which subsequently estimates the value of the reduced mortality. This is based on contingent valuation studies that test the amounts people would be prepared to pay to increase their chances of survival.   |
|        |   | Number of serious long term<br>health conditions averted<br>annually                               | <ul> <li>This is calculated using the Sport England MOVES tool which shows the return on investment for health of sport and physical activity.</li> <li>Physical activity protects against many illnesses. MOVES uses the latest research to estimate the number of eight specific conditions that are likely to be prevented:</li> <li>Type 2 Diabetes</li> <li>Coronary Heart Disease</li> <li>Cerebrovascular disease (Stroke)</li> <li>Breast Cancer</li> <li>Colorectal Cancer</li> <li>Dementia</li> <li>Depression</li> <li>Hip Fracture</li> <li>Note this is the reduction in incidence of these conditions i.e. the reduction in the number of new cases likely to arise in a year.</li> </ul> |
|        |   | Saving to the NHS  | This is also calculated using the MOVES tool and is the annual saving in health care costs arising from the number of conditions averted.  |
|        |   | Equivalent number of<br>average nurse's salary   | This is the estimated saving to the NHS divided by the average salary of a nurse (£23,319)<br><u>http://www.payscale.com/research/UK/Job=Registered Nurse (RN)/Salary</u>  |
|        | Keeping your<br>city moving                 | Transport capacity of<br>a 4m wide lane per hour   | Source: Litman, 2017. Evaluating Transportation Land Use Impacts Considering the Impacts,<br>Benefits and Costs of Different Land Use Development Patterns. Based upon Eric Bruun and<br>Vuchic, 1995. The Time-Area Concept: Development, Meaning and Applications.<br>This is one of several similar studies and graphics showing that fewer people can be carried by<br>cars than by other modes of transport in a typical traffic lane.  |
|        |   | Number of return cycle trips<br>are made daily in city by<br>people that could have used<br>a car. | This is calculated from the relevant responses to the ICM survey. It includes purposeful cycle trips for transport made by people living in households with a car. It excludes trips made by people without a car, and excludes all leisure trips.   |
|        |   | Number of cars taken off the road  | The number of return cycle trips made by people that could have used a car is considered to be same as the daily number of cars taken off the roads.   |

|   |   | Length of equivalent traffic<br>jam/tailback  | This is the space that would be taken by the cars taken off the road (as above), lined end-to-end.<br>It assumes that in a stationary queue a car would take up the space of a standard car parking<br>space.   |
|---|---|---|---|
|   |   | Space occupied by displaced<br>cars, expressed in relation to<br>a well-known local open<br>space   | This is the space that would be taken to park the number of cars taken off the road. It assumes parking spaces of average size (2.4m width x 4.8m length = $11.52m^2$ ) and is related to different well-known local open spaces in each report.  |
|   | More people<br>riding bikes<br>has<br>environmental<br>benefits | Tonnes of greenhouse gas<br>emissions saved annually  | The total distance cycled was calculated as above (page 7), and the part of this distance that could have been driven was estimated on the basis of all purposeful cycle journeys done by respondents who said they had a car in their household. This gives a total annual distance that could have been driven instead. The greenhouse gas emissions saved are calculated as the CO <sub>2</sub> that would have been emitted by an average car driven this distance.                       |
|   |   | Equivalent carbon footprint   | This is the CO <sub>2</sub> emissions as calculated above, divided by the carbon footprint in CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent<br>of an average UK citizen (CO <sub>2</sub> emissions per capita). Carbon footprint includes emissions from all<br>activities and of all greenhouse gases.<br>For Perth and Stirling the CO <sub>2</sub> emissions per capita figures are for the whole of the Perth &<br>Kinross and Stirling Council areas, not the cities as we have defined them for Bike Life. |
|   |   | kg of NOx and kg of particulates saved annually   | These are calculated from the distance and trips cycled that could have been driven annually. It is based on the emissions that an average car (diesel or petrol) would produce. The calculation takes into account the average per trip emissions from a cold-start, emissions per km at normal operating temperature, and emissions per km arising from brake wear, road abrasion and tire wear.  |
|   |   | Early adult deaths occurring<br>each<br>year where long-term<br>exposure to air pollution<br>(PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) is deemed to be a<br>contributory factor. | This is based upon Public Health England, 2014: Estimating Local<br>Mortality Burdens associated with Particulate Air Pollution, for Adults = 25 years+.<br>For Perth and Stirling the number of air pollution related deaths figures are for the whole of the<br>Perth & Kinross and Stirling Council areas, not the cities as we have defined them for Bike Life.   |
| Pages 9 and<br>10<br>Stories from<br>our city: What<br>cycling means<br>to people |   | "Stories from our city"   | Local photographic events and interviews for Bike Life held in summer 2018.<br>Where items of data are included, these are the opinion of interviewees.   |

| Page 11<br>Barriers and<br>potential: What<br>needs to | Safety and<br>security<br>continue to be<br>a significant | Percentages of people that<br>feel safe during the day,<br>when using each mode of<br>transport   | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.   |
|--|---|---|---|
| change to<br>make cycling<br>commonplace               | concern   | Frequency of injuries<br>occurring in relation to the<br>number of miles<br>pedalled around city  | The number of miles cycled in the city was estimated as above (page 7).<br>This was then divided by the number of people on bikes reported as being injured in the city for<br>the latest available year of data. This only includes injuries that were reported to the police. |
|  |   | Percentage of people who<br>think their city is a good<br>place to ride a bike overall  | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.   |
|  |   | Percentage of people who<br>think cycling safety in their<br>city is good   | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.   |
|  |   | Percentage of people who<br>think that the safety of<br>children's cycling is good  | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.   |
|  |   | Reported bike thefts  | Reported bike theft figures are provided by a response to a Freedom of Information request. The data in the reports show the data by financial year.  |
|  |   | Percentage chance of a bike<br>rider having their bicycle<br>stolen in the past year  | The reported number of bike thefts as above is divided by the number of bike riders; the percentage of respondents to the ICM survey saying they had ridden a bike in the previous four weeks, scaled up for the whole adult population of the city.                            |
|  |   | Percentage of people who<br>think that the security of<br>bicycle parking in their city is<br>good                                      | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.   |
|  | How do<br>residents rate<br>the city's cycle<br>routes?   | Percentage of people who<br>think that the amount,<br>directness, condition and<br>signposting of cycle routes in<br>their city is good | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.   |
| Page 12  | How do people<br>see<br>themselves<br>when it comes       | How people see themselves<br>when it comes to riding a<br>bike  | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.   |

|  | to riding a bike?                                       |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
|  | Perceptions of<br>cycling are<br>positive               | Perceptions of cycling   | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.  |
| Page 13<br>What the<br>public want:<br>Investment,<br>safety and<br>dedicated<br>space | Prioritising<br>investment in<br>cycling and<br>walking | <ul> <li>Percentages of people who<br/>think that more space for:</li> <li>cycling and walking</li> <li>buses, or</li> <li>cars are the best ways to</li> <li>keep the city moving</li> <li>improve health and<br/>fitness</li> <li>improve air pollution</li> <li>make streets more<br/>attractive</li> </ul> | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.  |
|  |   | Percentage of residents<br>would like to see more<br>investment in cycling in city   | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.  |
|  |   | Percentage of residents aged<br>over 65 who would like to<br>see more investment in<br>cycling in city<br>(Glasgow)  | The percentage of respondents aged over 65 giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.                               |
|  |   | Percentage of residents who<br>do not ride a bike and do not<br>want to, who would like to<br>see more investment in<br>cycling in city (Stirling)   | The percentage of respondents who do not ride a bike and do not want to, giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM. |
|  | Improved<br>safety and<br>space for<br>cycling          | Percentage of people that<br>think safety needs to be<br>improved, for each mode of<br>transport   | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM.  |

|   |                       | What people would find very useful to start cycling/cycle more   | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM. |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|
|   |                       | Percentage of residents that<br>support building more<br>protected cycle lanes, even<br>when this can mean less<br>room for other road traffic | The percentage of respondents giving these answers to the relevant question in the survey conducted by ICM. |
| Page 14<br>Bike to the<br>future: Our<br>ambition and<br>plans to make<br>it happen | Bike to<br>the future | Various data, depending on each individual city report   | All information supplied by the relevant authority, as shown on the front cover.                            |

Sustrans is the charity making it easier for people to walk and cycle. We connect people and places, create liveable neighbourhoods, transform the school run and deliver a happier, healthier commute. Join us on our journey. <u>www.sustrans.org.uk</u>

© Sustrans November 2018. Registered Charity No. 326550 (England and Wales) SC039263 (Scotland). VAT Registration No. 416740656